## School of Music College of the Arts

### **Georgia State University**

# NON-TENURE TRACK FACULTY REVIEW AND PROMOTION GUIDELINES

Policy Title:	School of Music Non-Tenure Track Faculty Review and Promotion Guidelines
Version:	1
School Approval:	09/21/2017
College Approval:	10/06/2017
Effective:	10/06/2017

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1

- 2 Non-tenure track (NTT) faculty housed in the School of Music are vital components of
- 3 our faculty. The policies and procedures related to the review and promotion of faculty
- 4 in non-tenure track ranks are outlined in this document (School guidelines), the College
- of and Arts Promotion Manual for Non-Tenure Track Faculty (college manual), and the
- 6 Georgia State University Promotion Manual for Non-Tenure Track Faculty (university
- 7 manual). Whereas the university and college NTT manuals provide general statements
- 8 of the expected quality and significance of NTT faculty accomplishments, this document
- 9 identifies the concrete forms these achievements should take. In particular, this
- document articulates the School's criteria for the various rankings that candidates for
- 11 promotion might receive in the areas of teaching and service. Candidates should consult
- 12 the college and university manuals for matters of process and procedure, dossier
- 13 requirements, and time-in-rank policies that govern eligibility for promotion
- 14 consideration.
- Of the NTT faculty positions in use in the School of Music, only regular, full-time lecturer
- 16 track faculty are eligible for promotion. The ranks within the lecturer track include the
- 17 following (listed from most junior to most senior): Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, and
- 18 Principal Senior Lecturer. The general duties for lecturer track faculty are described in
- 19 the college manual.
- 20 There are two tracks within the NTT faculty in the School of Music: Academic Lecturers
- 21 and Applied Lecturers. Academic lecturers teach music theory and music history
- 22 courses with MUS and MUA course listings. Applied Lecturers teach individual and/or
- 23 group lessons within the performance, conducting, composition, jazz and ensemble
- 24 areas; course listings include MUS and APXX. In the case where a lecturer teaches both
- applied and academic courses, their track will be decided by the Director based on the
- 26 candidate's primary area of expertise and courses taught.

#### 27 II. SCHOOL OF MUSIC REVIEW PROCESS FOR PROMOTION TO SENIOR LECTURER AND

#### 28 PRINCIPAL SENIOR LECTURER

#### 29 A. Process Overview

- 30 The primary stages of the School-level NTT faculty promotion review process are as
- 31 follows:
- 1. Following notification of eligibility from the Dean's Office, the candidate standing for
- promotion will submit the required review materials outlined in the college manual
- to the School Director.
- 35 2. The School Director forwards the candidate's materials to the School review
- 36 committee (or subcommittee for initial review, but the final recommendation must
- be made by the committee as a whole).
- 38 3. The School committee submits its recommendation, including any minority reports,
- 39 to the School Director. The committee members will sign the report(s) on a separate

- page/pages. The School Director will provide a copy of the School committee's
- report, including any minority reports, to the candidate with a notification that the
- candidate has the option to respond directly to the School Director within three
- 43 business days.
- 4. The School Director submits her/his independent recommendation and the
  recommendation of the School committee, including any minority reports and any
  responses from the candidate, to the Dean's Office. The School Director will provide
  a copy of her/his own report to the candidate with a notification that the candidate
  has the option to respond to the Dean's Office within three business days. The
  Dean's Office will provide to the School Director a copy of any response from the
  candidate to the School Director's report.
- See sections III and IV in the college manual for information on the evaluation processes at the college and university levels.

#### 53 B. Composition of School of Music Non-Tenure Track Promotion Review Committee

- 54 The School of Music Non-Tenure Track Promotion Review Committee consists of all
- tenured faculty and all NTT faculty of Senior rank and above in the School (Senior
- Lecturer, Principal Senior Lecturer), except the Director of the School and any members
- of the School serving in a position that will review the candidate's promotion application
- at the college or university levels. According to the college manual, units may operate
- 59 through a system of subcommittees that initially review and evaluate each candidate's
- 60 credentials. All final recommendations must be made by the committee of the whole.
- The committee of the whole must meet to discuss and vote on its
- 62 final recommendation. Faculty of equal or lower rank to the candidate's current rank
- 63 may not vote on the final recommendation of the committee of the whole. In
- 64 consultation with the School Director, the dean will augment the School promotion
- 65 review committee with NTT members from other units when the School does not have a
- sufficient number of faculty to constitute a committee of at least three members, with
- at least one being tenured and one being NTT faculty.

#### III. LECTURER REVIEWS

68

69

#### A. General Considerations

- 70 There are five types of structured reviews for faculty in the lecturer track: 1) annual
- review leading to re-appointment, 2) third-year review, 3) fifth-year review with
- 72 promotion to senior lecturer, 4) subsequent review with promotion to principal senior
- 73 lecturer (the timing for which is defined in the college manual), and 5) post-promotion
- 74 cumulative review (five-year structured review). In these reviews, the primary
- 75 considerations are contributions in teaching and service, with consideration given to
- contributions in the area of research and creative activity bearing on the candidate's
- knowledge as it relates to teaching performance. This document defines ratings that are
- used in all of the reviews listed above; however, the ratings in the body of the document
- 79 are defined in the context of School expectations specific to candidates being

80 considered for promotion to senior lecturer or principal senior lecturer.

#### B. Scope of Evaluations

81

82

#### 1. Evaluation of Teaching

- 83 As stated in the college manual, evaluation of teaching effectiveness will use the criteria
- of the college's policy (http://www2cas.gsu.edu/docs/as/teaching\_effectiveness.pdf).
- Overall teaching assessment is derived from the consideration of the following:
- **a. Teaching portfolio:** The teaching portfolio should include: material illustrating the
- advisement of recitals and papers; preparation and grading of Masters' examinations;
- official advising of students (as indicated by, e.g. signed PACE forms or SOM advising
- records); acceptance of former students into graduate programs, appointment to
- 90 faculty positions, or recognition in the profession; and student papers and related
- 91 materials for Honors projects, and independent studies. The lecturer may also provide
- 92 the School review committee with a video of his/her teaching, produced at the
- 93 candidate's convenience.
- 94 **b. Observation reviews:** Lecturers may be observed in the classroom on an annual basis
- by a senior faculty member and/or administrator. Each observation visit should be
- 96 prearranged with the candidate. A lecturer who teaches in an applied teaching area may
- also be observed annually and may give a master class during the year in which he/she
- 98 is a candidate for promotion to senior lecturer. The master class would be observed by a
- 99 senior faculty member. Faculty observers should make their notes in writing using the
- 100 School of Music peer review form. The observer should discuss this written observation
- report with the candidate. The written report is then forwarded to the School Director
- 102 for the candidate's file.
- 103 c. Student evaluations: The review of a candidate's materials will include overall
- student evaluation scores, which are useful indicators of student perceptions of
- instruction. Evaluation scores, which the School will not rely upon exclusively when
- determining minimum qualifications for ratings, will be considered in the context of the
- normal range of scores for specific courses and for similar level courses (i.e., 1000, 2000,
- etc.) within the School. The review will also consider other important variables such as
- 109 class size, whether the course is required or an elective, the response rate on the
- evaluations, and number of students enrolled in the course. In addition to average
- scores, the School will also be attentive to mean and median scores and to the impact of
- any outlying scores on averages. Qualitative evidence offered by the students' written
- comments on the student evaluation forms will receive serious attention from the
- 114 School as a meaningful supplement to the quantitative data from the evaluation
- instruments. In light of these contextual elements, successful candidates for promotion
- normally earn consistently strong evaluations and high scores, as defined below.

117

#### 2. Evaluation of Service

119

- 120 As stated in the college manual, contributions in the area of service include high-quality
- instructional service; contributions to the School, college, or university; professional
- service; and community and public service. Service for lecturers varies depending on
- the individual's core mission as defined by the School, but it is generally at the School or
- 124 college level. Contributions to service in the School of Music typically include
- participation in student auditions and juries, program implementation, policy
- development, review boards, as well as student recruitment and student advisement.
- 127 There may also be evidence of important service activities beyond the university context
- in professional organizations in the candidate's field of specialization. The candidate is
- 129 expected to promote and attend School events related to his/her field of specialization.
- 130 The review of candidates' records in service will consider the wide variety of tasks that
- the School Director might assign to particular faculty members. Candidates should
- document any arrangements made upon or after their initial appointment for them to
- take on special administrative duties or unusually heavy service loads. The degree to
- which assigned service responsibilities are made available to the candidate will also be
- part of the consideration of their service record.

## 136137 **3. Additional Considerations**

- 138 Other factors and contributions that may be considered as part of the lecturer review
- include the following:

140

156

#### 1. Contributions in Research and Creative Activity:

- 141 It is expected that lecturers will manifest in their classes a rich intellectual background
- and a familiarity with current trends and methods in the discipline. Though not required
- 143 for promotion, one way of achieving such a proficiency is through a program of scholarly
- 144 or creative activities.
- 145 Since a lecturer's research and creative activity is evaluated as a subordinate element of
- the overall record in instruction, it is incumbent on the candidate to demonstrate how
- the scholarly or creative work included in the dossier enhances his or her instructional
- effectiveness. The specific forms of research and creative activity that a lecturer may
- produce are identical to those described in the School promotion and tenure guidelines
- 150 for tenure track faculty, depending on the discipline: scholarly publications, publication
- of musical compositions, performances, recordings, participation as an invited or peer-
- reviewed master class presenter/clinician/lecturer, service as an adjudicator in major
- 153 competitions, intellectual contributions to professional organizations, and so forth.
- 154 Scholarship focused on pedagogy and curriculum should be included in the Instruction
- section of the dossier rather than under a Research and Creative Activity section.

#### 2. Role within the School of Music:

- 157 Since needs of the School of Music often change, the role of the lecturers also may
- change. For example, if student enrollments shift, the college or School may need to

- offer more sections of a course, or fewer. The review will include the role of the lecturer
- within the context of the mission of the School and the ability of the lecturer to fulfill
- 161 effectively changing needs of the School.

#### C. Criteria for Promotion

- 163 As stated in the college manual, candidates will be evaluated based on the evidence
- submitted as having met or not met the standards for promotion in teaching and service
- relative to the evaluative terms *outstanding*, *excellent*, *very good*, *good*, *fair*, and *poor*.
- 166 The single measure for achieving the standard for promotion in each category for each
- rank is defined in this section. The complete scale of evaluative terms that may be
- referenced in evaluations is included as an appendix to this document.

#### 1. Academic Lecturers

- 170 Academic lecturers under consideration for promotion are expected to demonstrate the
- following attributes in the category of teaching: mastery of the subject matter;
- organization of instruction; creation of syllabi with clear objectives, calendars, and
- 173 criteria for assessment of student achievement; consistent class meetings; balance of
- teaching style with subject matter; currency in the discipline; use of supplement
- materials such as course-packs, study guides, handouts, Web materials, guest speakers
- and so on.

162

169

- 177 The assessment of service of academic lecturers will include the considerations
- 178 described in Section III.B.2 above.

#### 179 a. Promotion from Lecturer to Senior Lecturer

- 180 For promotion to the rank of senior lecturer, the candidate must demonstrate a level of
- competence and effectiveness in teaching that is evaluated as at least excellent,
- according to the college manual. Additionally, the candidate must provide a level of
- assigned service to the School, college, university, and/or to the professional and
- practice community that is evaluated as at least very good, which meets the university
- standard for promotion to senior lecturer.

#### i.Teaching

- To meet the standard in teaching for promotion to the rank of senior lecturer with a
- rating of excellent, the candidate demonstrates innovative and creative presentation of
- course materials and teaching at a consistently high level; students are involved in
- academic activities (e.g. music conferences) at the regional or state level. Students are
- 191 accepted to excellent graduate programs. The candidate should be recognized among
- 192 students and colleagues as an effective and committed teacher, and should
- demonstrate an engagement with teaching and the curriculum beyond his or her
- assigned courses. Such a candidate may receive invitations to lectures that are based
- upon his or her reputation as a teacher/performer, and may also be involved in leading
- workshops, consultations, or producing pedagogical publications based upon his/her
- 197 teaching accomplishments. A candidate's reputation as a master teacher is recognized

on a regional level as evidenced by invitations to present teaching concepts at regionally

significant universities, conferences, or symposia. The significance of these activities

shall be determined by their relative importance in the field and not solely by their

201 geographic location.

#### ii.Service

199

202

204

205

207

208

210

212

223

225

227

228

230

231

203 To meet the standard in service for promotion to the rank of senior lecturer with a

rating of very good, the successful candidate demonstrates effective participation in the

various programmatic and administrative areas of the School. Diligent and effective

service includes participation in student auditions, juries, program implementation,

policy development, review boards, as well as significant efforts in student recruitment

and student advisement. There may also be evidence of important service activities

209 beyond the university context in professional organizations in the candidate's field of

specialization. The candidate is expected to promote and attend School events related

211 to his/her field of specialization.

#### b. Promotion from Senior Lecturer to Principal Senior Lecturer

213 For promotion to the rank of principal senior lecturer, the candidate must demonstrate

a sustained level of competence and effectiveness in teaching that is evaluated as

215 excellent, according to the college manual. Additionally, the candidate must provide a

level of assigned service to the School, college, university, and/or to the professional

and practice community that is evaluated as very good, which meets the university

218 standard for promotion to principal senior lecturer. Successful candidates for promotion

to principal senior lecturer will demonstrate continued growth in the time period since

the last promotion. This growth might be in the area of teaching or service or both. It

221 might be growth resulting in a higher ranking in one of these areas, but this need not

necessarily be the case so long as the candidate has made improvements in discrete

areas of their teaching or has mastered new skills or has made new contributions in

teaching or service.

#### i.Teaching

To meet the standard in teaching for promotion to principal senior lecturer with a rating

of excellent, the candidate demonstrates an exceptional ability to communicate and

work effectively with students. Further, the candidate provides the students with

229 current concepts and practices consistent with mastery of the field and its current

literature; students are involved in academic activities (e.g. music conferences) at the

state or national levels. Such a candidate may also be involved in leading workshops,

consultations, or producing pedagogical publications based upon his/her teaching

accomplishments. Select graduates are accepted to graduate programs at major schools

and/or have professional careers as teachers. A candidate's reputation as a master

235 teacher is recognized on a national or international level as evidenced by invitations to

236 present teaching concepts at national or internationally significant universities,

conferences, or symposia. The significance of these activities shall be determined by

their relative importance in the field and not solely by their geographic location.

#### 240 ii.Service

- The candidate will be judged as meeting the standard in service for promotion to
- 242 principal senior lecturer with a rating of very good if there is a demonstration of
- 243 exemplary participation in the various programmatic and administrative areas of the
- 244 School. Diligent and effective service includes participation in student auditions, juries,
- 245 program implementation, policy development, review boards, as well as successful
- 246 efforts in student recruitment and significant student advisement as evidenced by
- 247 enrollment and matriculation data. There must also be evidence of significant service
- 248 activities beyond the university context in professional organizations in the candidate's
- field of specialization. The candidate is expected to promote and attend School events
- related to his/her field of specialization.

251252

261

268

#### 2. Applied Lecturers

- 253 Three factors are considered in assessing the teaching effectiveness of applied
- Lecturers: 1) the success of graduates from the candidate's studio as judged by the
- 255 quality of their performances, acceptance for graduate study, or establishment of
- 256 professional careers; 2) the number of students attracted and retained in the
- candidate's studio; and, 3) the candidate's knowledge of literature and styles, as
- evidenced by the candidate's performances and/or those by his/her students.
- 259 The assessment of service of applied lecturers will include the considerations described
- in Section III.B.2 above.

#### a. Promotion from Lecturer to Senior Lecturer

- 262 For promotion to the rank of senior lecturer, the candidate must demonstrate a level of
- competence and effectiveness in teaching that is evaluated as at least excellent,
- according to the college manual. Additionally, the candidate must provide a level of
- assigned service to the School, college, university, and/or to the professional and
- 266 practice community that is evaluated as at least *very good*, which meets the university
- 267 standard for promotion to senior lecturer.

#### i. Teaching

- 269 To meet the standard in teaching for promotion to the rank of senior lecturer with a
- 270 rating of excellent, the candidate demonstrates an exceptional ability to communicate
- and work effectively with students and provides them with current concepts and
- 272 practices consistent with mastery of the field. The candidate consistently attracts new
- 273 students with high levels of talent and musical proficiency to his/her studio. Student
- enrollment is consistent with workload expectation. Select graduates are accepted to
- 275 major graduate programs and/or have professional careers as performers or teachers.
- 276 Students participate in performance activities regionally or statewide. Students perform
- a broad range of repertoire with satisfactory technique and musicianship, and an
- 278 understanding of the style. A candidate's reputation as a master teacher/workshop
- 279 clinician is recognized on a regional level as evidenced by invitations to present teaching

concepts at regionally significant universities, conferences, or symposia. The significance of these activities shall be determined by their relative importance in the field and not solely by their geographic location.

#### ii.Service

To meet the standard in service for promotion to the rank of senior lecturer with a rating of *very good*, the successful candidate demonstrates effective participation in the various programmatic and administrative areas of the School. Diligent and effective service includes participation in student auditions, juries, program implementation, policy development, review boards, as well as significant efforts in student recruitment and student advisement. There may also be evidence of important service activities beyond the university context in professional organizations in the candidate's field of specialization. The candidate is expected to promote and attend School events related to his/her field of specialization.

#### b. Promotion from Senior Lecturer to Principal Senior Lecturer

For promotion to the rank of principal senior lecturer, the candidate must demonstrate a sustained level of competence and effectiveness in teaching that is evaluated as *excellent*, according to the college manual. Additionally, the candidate must provide a level of assigned service to the School, college, university, and/or to the professional and practice community that is evaluated as *very good*, which meets the university standard for promotion to principal senior lecturer. Successful candidates for promotion to principal senior lecturer will demonstrate continued growth in the time period since the last promotion. This growth might be in the area of teaching or service or both. It might be growth resulting in a higher ranking in one of these areas, but this need not necessarily be the case so long as the candidate has made improvements in discrete areas of their teaching or has mastered new skills or has made new contributions in teaching or service.

#### i. Teaching

To meet the standard in teaching for promotion to principal senior lecturer with a rating of *excellent*, the candidate demonstrates an exceptional ability to communicate and work effectively with students and provides them with current concepts and practices consistent with mastery of the field. The candidate consistently attracts new students with high levels of talent and musical proficiency to his/her studio. Student enrollment is consistent with workload expectation. Select graduates are accepted to major graduate programs and/or have professional careers as performers or teachers. Students participate in performance activities statewide or nationally. Students perform a broad range of repertoire with exemplary technique and musicianship, and an understanding of the style. A candidate's reputation as a master teacher/workshop clinician is recognized on a national or international level as evidenced by invitations to present teaching concepts at national or internationally significant universities, conferences, or symposia. The significance of these activities shall be determined by their relative importance in the field and not solely by their geographic location.

#### ii. Service

322

333

339340

348

- 323 The candidate will be judged as meeting the standard in service for promotion to
- 324 principal senior lecturer with a rating of very good if there is a demonstration of
- 325 exemplary participation in the various programmatic and administrative areas of the
- 326 School. Diligent and effective service includes participation in student auditions, juries,
- 327 program implementation, policy development, review boards, as well as successful
- 328 efforts in student recruitment and student advisement as evidenced by enrollment and
- matriculation data. There must also be evidence of important service activities beyond
- 330 the university context in professional organizations in the candidate's field of
- 331 specialization. The candidate is expected to promote and attend School events related
- 332 to his/her field of specialization.

#### D. Other Lecturer Reviews

- 334 The annual, third-year, promotion, and post-promotion cumulative reviews are all
- distinct from one another. Because these different evaluations cover different time
- periods and may involve different evaluating bodies, the results of these reviews may
- diverge. Therefore, a reliable inference cannot necessarily be made from the
- conclusions of one of the reviews to those of the others.

#### 1. Annual Review of Lecturers

- Along with tenure track and other non-tenure track faculty, all lecturer track faculty are
- evaluated on an annual basis. The evaluation will be based on the materials supplied by
- 343 the faculty member, including her/his updated CV, annual report covering the prior
- calendar year, teaching portfolio, and any other appropriate materials. In consultation
- 345 with the School Executive Committee, the School Director will evaluate the lecturer
- track faculty member's service and teaching and service using the criteria described in
- 347 the Appendix.

#### 2. Third-Year Review of Lecturers

- 349 The third-year review for lecturers is designed to assess the faculty member's
- 350 effectiveness and progress toward promotion to senior lecturer. A School subcommittee
- composed of at least three faculty, which will include both tenured faculty and principal
- 352 senior lecturers or senior lecturers, will prepare an evaluation of the lecturer's record.
- 353 The School Director will provide an independent assessment before forwarding both
- evaluations to the Dean's Office for further evaluation of the record. The third-year
- review will employ the terms of the six-point scale used for promotion reviews.
- 356 However, the spirit of the third-year review is different from that of the fifth-year
- review; it is meant to review the lecturer's achievements to date and provide mentoring
- regarding possible deficiencies that should be addressed before the fifth-year review.

359

360

#### 3. Post-Promotion Review of Senior Lecturers and Principal Senior Lecturers

The post-promotion five-year cumulative review is designed to ensure that senior lecturers and principal senior lecturers remain effective and current in their pedagogy and accomplished in their service profiles. The review will cover the faculty member's teaching and service records over the last five years and will be based on the criteria listed in the Appendix. Faculty under review will present their dossiers (as described in the college manual) for evaluation by a committee of at least three faculty who are either tenured or at the rank of principal senior lecturer (with representation from each when the School has an available principal senior lecturer within its ranks). The School Director will provide an independent assessment and will then pass on both evaluations to the Dean's Office for response.

373 APPENDIX: Complete Ratings Scale for Evaluations of Lecturer-Track Faculty to be used 374 in Annual, Third-Year, Promotion, and Post-Promotion Cumulative Reviews 375 376 A1. Teaching (Academic Lecturers) 377 **Poor:** The candidate demonstrates little evidence of teaching competence and an 378 unacceptable record of instruction. 379 380 **Fair:** The candidate demonstrates minimal teaching competence. 381 382 **Good:** The candidate fulfills a majority of teaching responsibilities in an adequate 383 manner. Supporting material should show evidence of diligent preparation and valid 384 course content. 385 386 **Very Good:** The candidate performs teaching responsibilities consistently well; 387 supporting material includes evidence of diligent preparation and a conscientious 388 mentoring of students, as well as a commitment to enthusiastic, creative, and 389 innovative pedagogy. Students are active in academic activities in the School and the 390 local community, and are accepted into graduate programs. 391 392 Excellent (Promotion to Senior Lecturer): The candidate demonstrates innovative and 393 creative presentation of course materials and teaching at a consistently high level; 394 students are involved in academic activities (e.g. music conferences) at the regional or 395 state level. Students are accepted to excellent graduate programs. The candidate 396 should be recognized among students and colleagues as an effective and committed 397 teacher, and should demonstrate an engagement with teaching and the curriculum 398 beyond his or her assigned courses. Such a candidate may receive invitations to lectures 399 that are based upon his or her reputation as a teacher/performer, and may also be 400 involved in leading workshops, consultations, or producing pedagogical publications 401 based upon his/her teaching accomplishments. 402 403 **Excellent** (Promotion to Principal Senior Lecturer): In addition to the stated expectations 404 for a rating of excellent in teaching above, the successful candidate for promotion to the 405 rank of principal senior lecturer has students involved in academic activities (e.g. music 406 conferences) at a statewide or national level, is involved in leading workshops, 407 consultations, or producing pedagogical publications based upon his/her teaching 408 accomplishments. A candidate's reputation as a master teacher is recognized on 409 national or international level as evidenced by invitations to present teaching concepts 410 at national or internationally significant universities, conferences, or symposia. The 411 significance of these activities shall be determined by their relative importance in the 412 field and not solely by their geographic location.

- 413 Outstanding (Promotion to Senior Lecturer): The candidate demonstrates exceptional
- 414 mastery of his/her field, with innovative and creative presentations of course materials,
- 415 and teaching/learning processes. Candidate documents lists of published instructional
- 416 materials, teaching awards, and student activities, which may include their
- 417 presentations at national professional meetings or acceptance to outstanding graduate
- 418 programs. A candidate is evaluated as outstanding if the committee determines that the
- 419 quality of his/her accomplishments exceeds the criteria for excellent.
- 420 Outstanding (Promotion to Principal Senior Lecturer): In addition to the stated
- 421 expectations for a rating of *outstanding* in teaching above, the candidate for promotion
- 422 to the rank of principal senior lecturer documents lists of published instructional
- 423 materials, teaching awards, and student activities, which include their presentations at
- 424 national and international professional meetings or acceptance to outstanding graduate
- 425 programs.

#### A2. Teaching (Applied Lecturers)

426 427 428

**Poor:** The candidate demonstrates little evidence of teaching competence as judged by pedagogy and student performance

429 430

431 Fair: The candidate demonstrates minimal teaching competence. There is limited 432 evidence of student progress, musicianship, or technical facility.

433

435

436

437

434 **Good:** The candidate demonstrates acceptable teaching competence. The candidate attracts new students infrequently. Studio enrollment consistently remains below expected level. Students perform a limited range of repertoire adequately. A candidate's reputation as a master teacher/workshop clinician is recognized on a local 438 level.

439 440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

Very Good: The candidate demonstrates above average ability to communicate and work effectively with students and provides them with current concepts and practices consistent with mastery of the field. The candidate attracts new students with average levels of talent and musical proficiency; studio enrollment is consistent with expected workload. Graduates attend graduate programs or begin professional careers. Student progress is evident. Students participate in school, community, and state performance activities. The range of repertoire provided to students is adequate and is performed satisfactorily with an understanding of its style. A candidate's reputation as a master teacher/workshop clinician is recognized on a state level.

- 450 Excellent (Promotion to Senior Lecturer): The candidate demonstrates an exceptional 451 ability to communicate and work effectively with students and provides them with
- 452 current concepts and practices consistent with mastery of the field. The candidate
- 453 consistently attracts new students with high levels of talent and musical proficiency to

his/her studio. Student enrollment is consistent with workload expectation. Select graduates are accepted to major graduate programs and/or have professional careers as performers or teachers. Students participate in performance activities regionally or statewide. Students perform a broad range of repertoire with satisfactory technique and musicianship, and an understanding of the style. A candidate's reputation as a master teacher/workshop clinician is recognized on a regional level as evidenced by invitations to present teaching concepts at regionally significant universities, conferences, or symposia. The significance of these activities shall be determined by their relative importance in the field and not solely by their geographic location.

**Excellent** (Promotion to Principal Senior Lecturer): In addition to the stated expectations for a rating of excellent in teaching above, the successful candidate for promotion to the rank of principal senior lecture has students who participate in performance activities at a statewide or national level. Students perform a broad range of repertoire with exemplary technique and musicianship, and an understanding of the style. A candidate's reputation as a master teacher/workshop clinician is recognized on a national or international level as evidenced by invitations to present teaching concepts at national or internationally significant universities, conferences, or symposia.

**Outstanding** (Promotion to Senior Lecturer): The candidate is a master teacher who demonstrates exceptional ability to communicate and work effectively with students, providing them with current concepts and practices consistent with mastery of the field. The candidate consistently attracts high-quality students and maintains steady enrollment in the studio. Graduates are accepted into quality graduate programs and/or are sufficiently prepared for professional careers. Students participate in performance activities nationally. Students have won awards in significant competitions and/or orchestral auditions. Students perform a broad range of repertoire with high levels of musicianship, facility, and understanding of style. A candidate is evaluated as outstanding if the committee determines that the quality of his/her accomplishments exceeds the criteria for excellent. A candidate's reputation as a master teacher/workshop clinician is recognized on a national and/or international level.

**Outstanding** (Promotion to Principal Senior Lecturer): In addition to the stated expectations for a rating of outstanding in teaching above, the candidate for promotion to the rank of principal senior lecturer has students who participate in performance activities nationally and internationally.

#### B. Service

**Poor:** The faculty member does not fulfill assigned service obligations and is not a responsible citizen of the School.

**Fair:** The faculty member ineffectively fulfills assigned service obligations or is not a responsible citizen of the School.

**Good:** The faculty member does not always effectively fulfill assigned service obligations or is not consistently a responsible citizen of the School.

**Very Good:** The faculty member demonstrates effective participation in the various programmatic and administrative areas of the School. Diligent and effective service includes participation in student auditions, juries, program implementation, policy development, review boards, as well as significant efforts in student recruitment and student advisement. There may also be evidence of important service activities beyond the university context in professional organizations in the candidate's field of specialization. The candidate is expected to promote and attend School events related to his/her field of specialization.

**Excellent:** The faculty member has been diligent and highly effective as they carried out assigned responsibilities and contributed significantly to the mission of the School over a sustained period. The faculty member normally exhibits a track record of providing assistance to School advising efforts or to graduate teaching assistants and/or other non-tenure track instructors. In addition to continued growth in the areas of service described above, the faculty member's growth in service should also take one or more of the following forms: highly effective service as a School program director or in a role with a similar level of responsibility; recognition as a campus leader; significant service to the profession or community.

**Outstanding:** In excess of the stated expectations to achieve a rating of *excellent* in service, the faculty member will be judged to be *outstanding* in service if they have not only fulfilled their assigned responsibilities but also taken considerable personal initiative to seek out best practices and new opportunities for maximizing the success of the School in meeting its stated goals. She or he will have been recognized by their peers, students, or university administrators as having established a long track record of success in improving campus life in measurable or noticeable ways. Highly effective service as a School program director or in a role with a similar level of responsibility, as well as extraordinary service to the profession or community, are also indications of *outstanding* service.